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Abstract—This paper considers the partial information rewriting problem for flash memories. In this problem, the state of information can only be updated to a limited number of new states, and errors may occur in memory cells between two adjacent updates. We propose two coding schemes based on the models of trajectory codes. The bounds on achievable code rates are shown using polar WOM coding. Our schemes generalize the existing rewriting codes in multiple ways, and can be applied to various practical scenarios such as file editing, log-based file systems and file synchronization systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Flash memories are asymmetric in the sense that programming a cell from 0 to 1 is efficient while bringing a cell from 1 to 0 needs to erase a whole block of cells, which is costly and degrades the quality of cells. Moreover, to achieve higher storage density, the geometry of flash chips continuously shrink, making data more prone to noise. This paper studies codes that correct a few errors (e.g. study on error correcting WOM (EC-WOM) codes has been initiated. Codes that correct a few errors (e.g. 1, 2, or 3) have been proposed [10][11]. More recently, WOM codes that correct an arbitrary number of errors were developed [3][6].

This paper follows the partial information rewriting model of trajectory codes [5], where the current information can be changed to a limited number of new states during each update.

Definition 1. (Partial Rewriting) Let \( G(V, E) \) be a directed general rewriting graph that is strongly connected. Let each vertex \( v \in V \) denote a message \( M \in \{0, 1\}^{\log_2 |V|} \) and let \( \pi : \{0, 1\}^{\log_2 |V|} \rightarrow V \) be a one-to-one mapping defined by \( \pi(M) \triangleq v \). Let each edge \( e \in E \) denote the change between the messages allowed by each update. Let \( D \) be the maximum out degree of each vertex, where \( D \geq 1 \). Partial rewriting stores a sequence of \( N \) messages \( (M_0, \ldots, M_{N-1}) \) such that

(a) \( \pi(M_j) \in V \) for \( j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-1\} \).
(b) \( \pi(M_j) \rightarrow \pi(M_{j+1}) \in E \) for \( j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N-2\} \).
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The model of partial rewriting can be found in many practical storage applications such as file editing, log-based file systems and file synchronization systems. In such applications, data tend to be frequently updated while each update only makes small changes on the data. Partial rewriting increases the number of block erasures in flash memories and degrades memory performance. Note that a noiseless channel is assumed in the study of trajectory code for partial rewriting [5].

The contributions of this paper are general coding schemes for partial rewriting with noise, where errors from a binary symmetric channel may occur in cells between two adjacent updates. We propose two specific constructions based on trajectory codes. We show the bounds on achievable code rates based on our previous work on polar EC-WOM codes [6]. Our work generalize the existing rewriting codes in multiple ways.

II. PRELIMINARY CONCEPTS

This section introduces the noisy WOM model, which is an instance of the noisy partial rewriting model in this paper. It then revisits the polar EC-WOM codes [6] and the trajectory codes [5], which the codes of this paper are mainly based on.

A. The Model of Rewriting with Noise

A code for rewriting and error correction consists of \( t \) encoding functions \( E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_t \) and \( t \) decoding functions \( D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_t \). Let there be \( N \) binary cells. Let \( \mathbb{Z} = \{1, 2, \ldots, z\} \) for integer \( z \). For \( i \in [N] \) and \( j \in [t] \), let \( s_{i,j}, s'_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\} \) denote the level of the \( i \)-th cell imme-

stantaneously to the \( j \)-th write, respectively. The WOM constraint requires for each \( i \) and \( j \), \( s'_{i,j} \geq s_{i,j} \). Let \( c_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\} \) denote the level of the \( i \)-th cell at any time after the \( j \)-th write and before the \((j+1)\)-th write, when reading of the message \( M_j \) can happen. The error \( c_{i,j} + s'_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\} \) is the error in the \( i \)-th cell caused by the binary symmetric channel denoted by \( \text{BSC}(p_e) \) with error probability \( p_e \). (Here \( \oplus \) is an XOR function.) For \( j \in [t] \), the encoding function \( E_j \) changes the cell levels from \( s_j = (s_{1,j}, s_{2,j}, \ldots, s_{N,j}) \) to \( s'_j = (s'_{1,j}, s'_{2,j}, \ldots, s'_{N,j}) \) given the initial cell state \( s_j \) and the message to store \( M_j \). (Namely, \( E_j(s_j, M_j) = s'_j \).) When the reading of \( M_j \) happens, the decoding function \( D_j \) recovers the message \( M_j \) given the noisy cell state \( c_j = (c_{1,j}, c_{2,j}, \ldots, c_{N,j}) \). (Namely, \( D_j(c_j) = M_j \).)

For \( j \in [t] \), define instantaneous rate of the \( j \)-th write as \( R_j \triangleq \frac{M_j}{N} \), where \( M_j \) is the number of bits in \( M_j \). The sum-rate is defined as \( R_{\text{sum}} \triangleq \sum_{j \in [t]} R_j \). When there is no noise, the maximum sum-rate (i.e. capacity) of WOM is known to be \( \log_2(t+1) \) bits per cell. However, for the noisy WOM described above, the exact capacity is still largely unknown [4].
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B. Polar EC-WOM Codes

Polar EC-WOM codes combine rewriting and error correction with efficient encoding and decoding algorithms [6]. The scheme extends the constructions by Burstein and Strugar [1], which is based on polar codes and achieves the capacity of noiseless WOM with techniques related to lossy source coding [7]. In [1], a WOM channel is designed for each write such that the WOM constraint is satisfied and the capacity of the channel can match the instantaneous rate. A polar code is then constructed for each WOM channel and used for encoding. The polar WOM code was extended to correct errors [6]. The code in [6] is shown to have good performance when the frozen sets of the polar codes respectively constructed for the encoding channel and the decoding channel have substantial overlapping, the existence of which is analyzed both analytically and experimentally.

C. Trajectory Codes

Trajectory codes are rewriting codes that are asymptotically optimal for noiseless partial rewriting [5]. Given the rewriting graph \( G(V, E) \), let \( L = |V| \), divide a group of \( n \) binary cells into \( C \) subgroups. For \( i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, C \} \), let the \( i \)-th subgroup have \( n_i \) cells and be referred to as register \( r_i \), namely, \( n = \sum_{i=0}^{C} n_i \). A register stores a \( t \)-write WOM code. Let \( s_j = (s_{j1}, s_{j2}, \cdots, s_{jn}) \) and \( s_j' = (s'_{j1}, s'_{j2}, \cdots, s'_{jn}) \) be the cell states immediately before and after storing \( M_j \). A trajectory code has \( Ct \) encoders \( E_0, E_1, \cdots, E_{Ct-1} \) and decoders \( D_0, D_1, \cdots, D_{Ct-1} \), supporting \( N = Ct \) message updates. For \( j \in \{0, 1, \cdots, Ct-1 \} \), the encoder

\[
E_j : \{0, 1\}^n \times \{0, 1\}^{\log_2 L} \times G(V, E) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n
\]

computes the new cell states from the message, the current state and \( G(V, E) \) (namely, \( E_j(s_j, M_j, G(V, E)) \rightarrow s'_j \)) and the decoder

\[
D_j : \{0, 1\}^n \times G(V, E) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^{\log_2 L}
\]

reads the message \( M_j \) from the current cell state at any time between the \( j \)-th and the \((j+1)\)-th updates. (Namely, \( D_j(s'_j, G(V, E)) \rightarrow M_j \).

The \( Ct \) updates are performed using a differential scheme: the first message \( M_0 \) is stored in \( r_0 \). To write message \( M_1 \), we compute the label \( \Delta_1 \in \{0, 1\}^{\log_2 D} \) of the edge \( \pi(M_0) \rightarrow \pi(M_1) \) in \( G(V, E) \), and store in \( r_1 \). (Instead of labeling edges globally, each outgoing edge of a vertex is given a local label that costs \( \log_2 D \) bits, where \( D \) is the maximum out degree.) The next \( C-2 \) updates can be written in the same way. After \( r_{Ct-1} \) is used, an update cycle is completed, and the register \( r_0 \) will be rewritten with the new \( \log \) \( L \)-bit message for the next update. The iteration continues until the last update is finished. The construction implies the constraint that for all \( j \), and for all \( i \) such that the \( i \)-th cell belongs to \( r_{j \mod C} \), we have \( s'_{i,j} \geq s_{i,j} \). The code rate of trajectory codes is

\[
R = \frac{Ct \log_2 L}{n} \text{ bits/cell.}
\]  

III. ERROR CORRECTING TRAJECTORY CODES

We study the coding problem for joint partial rewriting and error correction, where the partial rewriting model is extended by allowing cell states to be changed by noise between two adjacent updates. In flash memories, the noise is from various sources such as interference and charge leakage [2].

A. Error Model and WOM Parameters

Before we present the code construction, we first introduce the related model and parameters. Let the noise channel for the errors received by a register between two adjacent updates (e.g., the time period after storing \( M_3 \) and before storing \( M_4 \)) be a binary symmetric channel \( \text{BSC}(p) \) with \( p \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \). We assume that errors start occurring in a register after the register is written for the first time. The assumption is motivated by practical flash memories, where the major errors for rewriting are introduced by cell-to-cell interference that happens mainly when cells are being programmed [2]. Following the model of trajectory codes, the noise channel that a register goes through at the time immediately before its next WOM rewrite is \( \text{BSC}(p^C) \), where \( p^C \) is the overall error probability of \( C \) cascaded \( \text{BSC}(p) \) computed using \( p^C \triangleq \left(1-(1-2p)^C\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \).

In WOM, it is common to use some parameters to control the amount of information that is written in each write. For \( j \in [t] \), let the parameter \( \alpha_{i,j-1} \) be the fraction of cells that are at state 0 immediately before the \( j \)-th update of the register \( j \)’s WOM code. We have \( \alpha_{i,0} = 1 \). Let the parameter \( \epsilon_{i,t} \leq \frac{1}{2} \) be the fraction of cells at state 0 that will be raised to 1 by the \( j \)-th update. We have \( \epsilon_{i,t} = \frac{1}{2} \). The parameters of the WOM codes in used in our setting of partial rewriting also depends on the error probability \( p \). When \( n_i \rightarrow \infty \), the values of \( \alpha_{i,1}, \alpha_{i,2}, \cdots, \alpha_{i,t-1} \) are computed by \( \alpha_{i,j} = [\alpha_{i,j-1}(1-\epsilon_{i,j})]^* p^C \), where \( a * b \triangleq a(1-b) + (1-a)b \) and the parameters \( \epsilon_{i,1}, \epsilon_{i,2}, \cdots, \epsilon_{i,t} \) are specified by users.

B. Code Construction

Our first construction is a natural extension of trajectory codes, where each register independently corrects the errors in it. The recovered messages are used by the next update. We formally present the construction by defining the encoder and the decoder in the following.

Construction 2. For \( i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, C-1\} \), let register \( r_i \) use a \( t \)-write EC-WOM code, correcting the errors from \( \text{BSC}(p^C) \). Let \( l = |j \mod C| \), and let \( s_j \) be the states of the \( n \) cells right before the \( j \)-th update, and let \( s'_j \) denote the cell states at any time between the \( j \)-th and the \((j+1)\)-th update. (Therefore, \( s_j \) is the value of \( s'_{j-1} \) at a particular moment.) For \( j = 0, 1, \cdots, Ct-1 \), we have

**Encoder**

\[ E_j(s_j, M_j, G(V, E)) = s'_j \]

If \( l = 0 \), rewrite \( M_j \) with \( M_j \). Otherwise, do:

1. Recover message \( M_{j-1} = D_{j-1}(s_j, G(V, E)) \).
2. Compute the label \( \Delta_j \) s.t. \( \pi(M_{j-1}) \rightarrow \pi(M_j) \in E \). (Here \( \pi \) is specified in Definition 1.)
3. Store the label \( \Delta_j \) in register \( r_i \) using rewriting (i.e. using the EC-WOM code for \( r_i \)).
**Decoder** $D_j(s, \mathcal{G}(V, E)) = \hat{M}_j$

1. Decode $r_0$ and obtain the estimated message $\hat{M}_{j-1}$. Let $v_{j-1} = \pi(\hat{M}_{j-1})$.
2. For $k$ from 1 to $l$, decode $r_k$ and obtain the estimated edge label $\Delta_{j-1+k}$ (Note that in the rewriting graph $\mathcal{G}(V, E)$, the edge from message $M_{j-1+k-1}$ to message $M_{j-1+k-1}$ has the label $\Delta_{j-1+k}$).
3. Compute $M_j$. Start from the vertex $v_{j-1}$, traverse $\mathcal{G}(V, E)$ along the path marked by $\Delta_{j-1+k}$, $\Delta_{j-1+k-2}, \ldots, \Delta_j$, which leads to $v_j$. Output $M_j = \pi^{-1}(v_j)$.

**Example 3.** We now show a simple example for $n = 6$ cells. (In practice, the code usually has thousands of cells.) Let the cells be divided into $C = 2$ registers with $n_0 = 4$, $n_1 = 2$, and let $t = 2, L = 4$ and $D = 2$. Assume that between two adjacent updates, an error occurs in each register. Let the WOM codes of $r_0$ and $r_1$ correct 2 and 1 errors, respectively. Let the rewriting graph $\mathcal{G}$ whose vertex and edge sets be defined as $V = \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, $E = \{(0)\}$, where the vertex $v_i$ represents the symbol $i$ and having two outgoing edges locally labeled with (0) and (1). Let the sequence of messages be (0, 3, 2, 1), which corresponds to the path $v_0 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_1$ in the graph. Assume that the changes on the states of $r_0$ and $r_1$ during the updates are the ones shown in the table below. Here $j^-$ and $j^+$ denote the moments immediately before and after the $j$-th update, respectively. A bit marked with underlines indicates an error. Note that at the moment $j = 2$, although the updating does not require recovery of the messages written at moments $j = 0$ and $j = 1$, those messages can still be recovered until the moment $j = 2^*$ if needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$j$</th>
<th>$r_0$</th>
<th>$r_1$</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0^+</td>
<td>(0, 0, 0, 0)</td>
<td>(0, 0)</td>
<td>Initialization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0^-</td>
<td>(0, 1, 0, 0)</td>
<td>(0, 0)</td>
<td>Wrote data “0” in $r_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^-</td>
<td>(0, 1, 0, 0)</td>
<td>(1, 0)</td>
<td>An error occurs in $r_0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1^+</td>
<td>(0, 1, 0, 0)</td>
<td>(1, 0)</td>
<td>Decoded $r_0$, wrote “(1)” in $r_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2^-</td>
<td>(0, 0, 1, 0)</td>
<td>(0, 0)</td>
<td>Errors occur in $r_0$ and $r_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2^+</td>
<td>(1, 0, 1, 0)</td>
<td>(0, 0)</td>
<td>Rewrote $r_0$ to store “2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3^-</td>
<td>(1, 0, 0, 0)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>Errors occur in $r_0$ and $r_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3^+</td>
<td>(1, 0, 0, 0)</td>
<td>(0, 1)</td>
<td>Decoded $r_0$, wrote “0” in $r_1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C. Analysis of the Correctness of the Construction**

To see the correctness of the coding scheme, we use induction. (Here we assume the number of cells goes to infinity.) Let us assume that the first $j$ messages have been stored successfully, and we show that $M_{j-1}$ can be recovered reliably at any time between the $(j-1)$-th and the $j$-th update, and the $j$-th message can be stored successfully. Let the index of the register be written as $l = j \mod C$. If $l = 0$, we are at the first write of a new cycle, and do not need to recover $M_{j-1}$ to store $M_j$; if $l > 0$, we perform the update by storing the difference $\Delta_j$ between $M_{j-1}$ and $M_j$ in $r_l$. To do so, we first recover the value of $M_{j-1}$ by decoding the registers $r_0$, $r_1$, $\ldots$, $r_{l-1}$ which have respectively received errors from the channels $\text{BSC}(p^*)$, $\text{BSC}(p^{*t(l-1)})$, $\ldots$, $\text{BSC}(p)$ at the time of the decoding. As their WOM codes respectively correct errors from $\text{BSC}(p^C)$, $\text{BSC}(p^{(C-1)})$, $\ldots$, $\text{BSC}(p^{(C-l+1)})$ which are degraded versions of their current noise channels, these registers can be decoded, outputting the messages written by the last $l$ updates (which include $M_{j-1}$ stored in $r_0$, and the labels $\Delta_{j-1}, \Delta_{j-2}, \ldots, \Delta_1$ from $r_1, \ldots, r_{l-1}$). Given $\mathcal{G}(V, E)$ we can determine the value of $M_{j-1}$, and further compute the label $\Delta_j$ of the edge from $\pi(M_{j-1})$ to $\pi(M_j)$. By storing the label $\Delta_j$ into $r_l$, the $j$-th update succeeds.

**D. Code Analysis**

We analyze the code performance for Construction 2. Let $L = |V|$. For $j \in [t]$, let $R_{ij} > 0$ be the achievable instantaneous rate of the $j$-th write of the EC-WOM code in $r_i$. As each register uses a constant-rate WOM code (here register $r_0$ stores $\log_2 L$ bits per write, and the other registers each stores $\log_2 D$ bits per write), for $i \in [C - 1]$ we have

$$n_0 = \frac{\log_2 L}{\min_{j \in [t]} R_{ij}}, \quad n_1 = \frac{\log_2 D}{\min_{j \in [t]} R_{ij}}. \quad (2)$$

Substituting Eq. (2) in Eq. (1) gives the rate of the code

$$R = \frac{t \cdot C}{\min_{j \in [t]} R_{ij}} + \log_2 D \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{C-1} \frac{1}{\min_{j \in [t]} R_{ij}}. \quad (3)$$

Note that the EC-WOM in Construction 2 is general. To be specific, we can use the polar EC-WOM code in [6] for each register, and derived the bounds on $R$. We first revisit some results from [6] that are needed to derive the bounds to the instantaneous rates for the polar EC-WOM code.

Let the WOM channel used for performing the $j$-th write/encoding of the polar EC-WOM be $\text{WOM}(\alpha_{j-1}, \epsilon_j)$ with the parameters $\alpha_{j-1}$ and $\epsilon_j$, and let the channel of noise in cell states between two adjacent writes be $\text{BSC}(p_e)$. Let $F_{\text{WOM}(\alpha_{j-1}, \epsilon_j)} \subseteq [N]$ be the frozen set of the polar code constructed for $\text{WOM}(\alpha_{j-1}, \epsilon_j)$, and let $F_{\text{BSC}(p_e)} \subseteq [N]$ be the frozen set of the code constructed for $\text{BSC}(p_e)$. When $N \rightarrow \infty$, let $x_j = |F_{\text{WOM}(\alpha_{j-1}, \epsilon_j)} \cap F_{\text{BSC}(p_e)}| / |F_{\text{BSC}(p_e)}| \leq 1$. For $j \in [t]$, the number of bits written in the $j$-th rewrite is $M_j = |F_{\text{WOM}(\alpha_{j-1}, \epsilon_j)}| - |F_{\text{WOM}(\alpha_{j-1}, \epsilon_j)} \cap F_{\text{BSC}(p_e)}| = N\alpha_{j-1}H(\epsilon_j) - x_jF_{\text{BSC}(p_e)} = N(\alpha_{j-1}H(\epsilon_j) - x_j H(p_e))$ and the number of additional cells we use to store the bits in $F_{\text{BSC}(p_e)} - F_{\text{WOM}(\alpha_{j-1}, \epsilon_j)}$ is $N_{\text{additional}, j} = \frac{N H(p_e)(1-x_j)}{1-H(p_e)}$. Therefore, we get the instantaneous rate for the $j$-th write

$$R_j = \frac{M_j}{N + \sum_{k=1}^{j} N_{\text{additional}, k}} = \frac{\alpha_{j-1}H(\epsilon_j) - H(p_e)x_j}{1 + \frac{H(p_e)}{1-H(p_e)} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (1-x_k)}.$$

**Lemma 4.** [6, Lemma 5] Let $0 < p_e \leq \alpha_{j-1} \epsilon_j$. Then $x_j \geq \gamma_j$, where

$$\gamma_j = \max \left\{ \frac{\alpha_{j-1}H(p_e)}{H(p_e)}, \frac{\alpha_{j-1}H(\epsilon_j) + H(p_e) - H(\alpha_{j-1}\epsilon_j)}{H(p_e)} \right\}.$$

**Lemma 5.** Let $0 < p_e \leq \alpha_{j-1} \epsilon_j$. Then $R_j \in [R_j^-, R_j^+]$, where

$$R_j^- = \frac{\alpha_{j-1}H(\epsilon_j) - H(p_e)}{1 + \frac{H(p_e)}{1-H(p_e)} \sum_{k=1}^{j} (1-\gamma_k)}, \quad (3)$$

$$R_j^+ = \alpha_{j-1}H(\epsilon_j) - H(p_e)\gamma_j. \quad (4)$$
The results above can be directly applied to the codes in Construction 2. For $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, C - 1\}$, let $0 < p^{(C-i)} \leq \alpha_{i,j-1}\epsilon_{i,j}$, then $R_{i,j} \in [R_{ij}^-, R_{ij}^+]$ where $R_{ij}^-$ and $R_{ij}^+$ are computed with the right hand sides of Eq. (3) and (4) by replacing $\alpha_{i,j-1}, \epsilon_j$ and $p_c$ with $\alpha_{i,j-1}, \epsilon_j$ and $p^{(C-i)}$.

**Theorem 6.** For $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, C - 1\}, j \in [t]$, let $0 < p^{(C-i)} \leq \alpha_{i,j-1}\epsilon_{i,j}$. Then $R \in \{R^-, R^+\}$ where

$$R^- = \frac{t \cdot C}{\min_{i \in [t]} R_{i,j}} + \frac{\log_2 D}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{C-1} \frac{1}{\min_{i \in [t]} R_{i,j}},$$

and the upper bound $R^+$ can be computed by replacing $R_{0,j}$ and $R_{i,j}^-$ in the above equation with $R_{0,j}^+$ and $R_{i,j}^+$, respectively.

Figure 1 shows some numerical results for the bounds of our code, where for all $i, j$ we let $\epsilon_{i,j} = 1/(2 + t - j)$. To show the benefit obtained by taking advantage of the partial rewriting constraints, we compare the bounds of our scheme to those of the basic scheme, which is simply a $Ct$-write polar EC-WOM code correcting errors from BSC($p$). In each rewrite, the basic scheme stores each updated message using rewriting. The results suggest our code performs significantly better than the basic scheme (Note that the WOM codes considered in this paper are constant rate codes. Given such codes, the bounds in Figure 1 decreases when $t$ becomes sufficiently large due to the drop in the instantaneous rates.)

**IV. A MORE GENERALIZED CODING SCHEME**

We now discuss a more generalized coding scheme. In this scheme, the trajectory codes not only use registers to store the changes in the messages, but can also store part of the errors found in previous registers. When the error probability of the channel is small, only a small number of additional cells are needed to store such error information. We focus on a specific construction in the following.

**A. Code Construction**

Let the error-free cell states of register $r_i$ (immediately after it is written) be $e^i_0 \in \{0, 1\}^{n_i}$. Let the cell states immediately before each of the next $C$ updates of messages be $e^i_1, e^i_2, \cdots, e^i_C$. According to the error model in Section III, the error vector $e^i_k \oplus e^i_{k+1}$ contains the errors introduced by BSC($p$). When $n_i \to \infty$, the vector $e^i_k \oplus e^i_{k+1}$ can be compressed into $n_iH(p)$ bits using lossless source coding. The encoder and the decoder for the $j$-th update in the new construction are defined below.

**Construction 7.** For $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, C - 1\}$, let register $r_i$ use a $t$-write EC-WOM code, correcting the errors from BSC($p$). For $j = 0, 1, \cdots, Ct - 1$, we have

**Encoder** $E_j(s_j, M_j, G(V, E)) = s'_j$

If $l = 0$, rewrite $r_0$ with $M_j$. Otherwise, do:

1. Recover message $M_{j-1} = D_{j-1}(s_j, G(V, E))$.
2. Compute the label $\Delta_j$ s.t. $(\pi(M_{j-1}) \Rightarrow \pi(M_j)) \in E$.
3. Rewrite register $r_j$ to store $\Delta_j$, and the compressed version of the error vectors $c^0_0 \oplus c^1_0, c^0_1 \oplus c^1_1, \cdots, c^0_{l-1} \oplus c^1_{l-1}$.

**Decoder** $D_j(s'_j, G(V, E)) = M_j$

1. For $k$ from 0 to $l$, let the state of register $r_{t-k}$ be $c^0_k \oplus c^1_k$. Using it and the error vectors obtained previously from decoding $r_{t-k+1}, r_{t-k+2}, \cdots, r_{t-1}$, we get $c^0_{l-k} \oplus c^1_{l-k} \oplus c^0_{l-k-1} \oplus c^1_{l-k-1} = c^0_{l-k} \oplus c^1_{l-k} \oplus c^0_{l-k} \oplus c^1_{l-k}$.

2. (Note that when $k = 0$, the above equals $c^0_0$.) Decode the right hand side of the above equation, and obtain the recorded error vectors about the first $(l-k)$ registers—$c^0_0 \oplus c^1_{l-k}, c^0_1 \oplus c^1_{l-k-1}, c^0_2 \oplus c^1_{l-k-2}, \cdots, c^0_{l-k} \oplus c^1_{l-k}$—the estimated message $M_{j-l}$ (when $k = l$) or the estimated edge label $\Delta_{j-k}$ (when $k < l$).

3. We now compute $M_j$ : we traverse the graph $G(V, E)$ along the path marked by the labels $\Delta_{j-l-1}, \Delta_{j-l-2}, \cdots, \Delta_j$, which leads to vertex $v_j$. Output $M_j = \pi^{-1}(v_j)$.

**Example 8.** Let $n = 10, t \geq 1, C = 3, L = 4$ and $D = 2$. Assume $r_0 = 3, r_1 = 3, r_2 = 4$, and that the WOM code of each register corrects 1 error. Assume that between two adjacent updates, an error occurs in each register. We assume the same rewriting graph as in Example 3, and let $(0, 3, 2)$ be the first three messages to be stored. We only illustrate the update for the message “2” due to space limitation. Assume the changes in the cell states during the updates are as in the table below. At time $2^r$, errors occur in $r_0$ and $r_1$. To perform the update, we first decode $r_1$, and obtain the label “(1)” and the decompressed error vector $c^0_0 \oplus c^1_0 = (0, 0, 1)$ for $r_0$. Given the error vector and the current state $e^0_0$, compute $c^0_1 \oplus (c^0_0 \oplus c^1_0) = (0, 0, 0)$ where the middle bit still contains error. Decoding $c^0_0 \oplus (c^0_0 \oplus c^1_0)$ gives the message “0”. Given the new message “2” and the recovered label “(1)”, the message “0” in $r_0$, the label “(0)” is determined and stored by writing “(0)” in $r_2$, which completes the update.
stored successfully, and we elaborate on the $j$-th update with $l > 0$. To perform the update, we need to recover the message $M_{j-1}$ so that the label of the edge from $M_{j-1}$ to $M_j$ can be computed and stored in $r_l$. We first decode $r_{l-1}$ with state $c_{l-1}^t$ which received the errors from BSC($p$). Since each register tolerates errors from BSC($p$), $r_{l-1}$ can be decoded to obtain the edge label $\Delta_{l-1}$ (that specifies the edge connecting $M_{j-2}$ to $M_{j-1}$) as well as the error vectors $e_{l-2}^0 + c_{l-2}^1$, $e_{l-3}^0 + c_{l-3}^1$, $\ldots$, $e_0^0 + c_0^1$ with each error vector being for one of the first $l - 1$ registers. Next, we decode $r_{l-2}$ with state $c_{l-2}^1$. To do so, we first use the error vector obtained previously on $r_{l-2}$ to correct part of the errors by computing $c_{l-2}^1 + (e_{l-2}^0 + c_{l-2}^1)$. The remaining errors can be equivalently seen as coming from BSC($p$), and are thus correctable. Decoding them gives the edge label $\Delta_{l-2}$ as well as the error vectors regarding the previous registers. We continue the joint decoding in the same fashion towards $r_0$. Thanks to the error vectors from the previous decoding, each register needs to correct errors from BSC($p$) (instead of BSC($p^{(C-1)}$)) for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, C-1$. After $r_0$ is decoded, we obtain the message $M_{j-1}$ and the labels $\Delta_{j-1}$, $\Delta_{j-2}$, $\ldots$, $\Delta_{l-1}$. By traversing $G(V, E)$ along the path marked by the labels, we recover $M_{j-1}$. The label $\Delta_{j-1}$ is then determined and written into $r_1$.

C. Code Analysis

We analyze the code performance of Construction 7. The analysis is different from Construction 2 mainly for two reasons. The EC-WOM code of each register for the codes of this section corrects the errors from BSC($p$) while each WOM code tolerates different amount of noise in the previous construction. Moreover, since each register (besides $r_0$) stores both error vectors as well as an edge label, the value of $n_i$ also depends on $n_0, n_1, \ldots, n_{i-1}$.

We first derive $n_i$ for each $r_i$. As $r_0$ is used in the same way as the previous codes, and $r_l$ stores $i$ error vectors and one edge label in each write, we have $n_0 = \log_2 L / \min_{j \in [t]} R_{0,j}$ and $n_i = (\log_2 D + H(p) \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} n_k) / \min_{j \in [t]} R_{i,j}$ for $i \in [C-1]$. Here the term $H(p) \sum_{k=0}^{i-1} n_k$ is the length of the compressed error vectors $e_{i-1}^0 + c_{i-1}^1$, $e_{i-2}^0 + c_{i-2}^1$, $\ldots$, $e_0^1 + c_0^1$. In practice, each register can choose to use the WOM code with the same parameters to simplify the implementation. In such cases, $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_{C-1})$ form a geometric sequence.

Proposition 9. For $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, C-1\}$, let $\min_{j \in [t]} R_{i,j}$ be some constant $A$. Then we have $n_i = (n_0 H(p) + \log_2 D) (A + H(p))^{-1}/A^i$.

Therefore, the rate of the code in this section can be computed using Eq. (1). To derive the bounds for Construction 7, we apply the same techniques used in Section III. Assume each WOM code in is a polar EC-WOM code which corrects errors from BSC($p$). By applying Lemma 5, we show the bounds to the instantaneous rates $R_{i,j}$ in the next lemma.

Lemma 10. For $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, C-1\}$ and $j \in [t]$, let $0 < p < \alpha_{i,j-1}\epsilon_{i,j}$. Then we have $R_{i,j} = [R_{i,j}^- R_{i,j}^+]$, where $R_{i,j}^- = [\alpha_{i,j-1} H(\epsilon_{i,j}) - H(p)]/\left[1 + H(p) \sum_{j=1}^{t} (1 - \gamma_{i,j})\right]$ and $R_{i,j}^+ = \alpha_{i,j-1} H(\epsilon_{i,j}) - H(p)\gamma_{i,j}$.

Theorem 11. For all $i$ and $j$, let $0 < p < \alpha_{i,j-1}\epsilon_{i,j}$. Then we have $R \in [R^- R^+]$, where $R^+ = C t \log_2 L / \left[\log_2 L / \min_{j \in [t]} R_{0,j} + \sum_{i \in [C-1]} \log_2 D + H(p) \sum_{j=1}^{t} (1 - \gamma_{i,j})\right]$, and $R^-$ can be computed by replacing $R^+(0, j)$ and $R^-(i, j)$ in $R^+$ above with $R^+(0, j)$ and $R^-(i, j)$, respectively.

Figure 2 shows the numerical results that compare the bounds of Construction 2 and Construction 7 on parameters that are common for flash memories (e.g. message length > 1000 bits). The bounds for the codes in this section are tighter than those of the previous construction. When $t$ is sufficiently large, all bounds will decrease due to the decrease of the minimum instantaneous rates. However, the bounds of the codes in this section decrease more slowly. This is because in the first construction, the WOM code of $r_i$ needs to tolerate the errors from BSC($p^{(C-1)}$). Its error rates become much higher than what the codes in this section need to tolerate (which is BSC($p$)) when $C$ becomes large. Therefore, the minimum instantaneous rates of the WOM codes in the previous scheme decrease faster when $t$ increases than those of the codes in this section do.
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