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## Single Source Shortest Path

- Given:
- a directed or undirected graph $G=(V, E)$
- a source node s in V
- a weight function w: E -> R.
- Goal: For each vertex tin $V$, find a path from s to $\dagger$ in $G$ with minimum weight
Warning! Negative weight cycles are a problem:
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## Constant Weight Functions

Suppose that the weights of all edges are the same. How can you solve the singlesource shortest path problem?

Breadth-first search can be used to solve the single-source shortest path problem.
Indeed, the tree rooted at $s$ in the BFS forest is the solution.

## Intermezzo: Priority Queues

## Priority Queues

A min-priority queue is a data structure for maintaining a set $S$ of elements, each with an associated value called key.
This data structure supports the operations:

- insert( $S, x$ ) which realizes $S:=S \cup\{x\}$
- minimum( $S$ ) which returns the element with the smallest key.
- extract-min(S) which removes and returns the element with the smallest key from $S$.
decrease-key $(S, x, k)$ which decreases the value of $x$ 's


## Simple Array Implementation

Suppose that the elements are numbered from 1 to $n$, and that the keys are stored in an array key[1..n].

- insert and decrease-key take $O$ (1) time. - extract-min takes $O(n)$ time, as the whole array must be searched for the minimum.


## Binary min-heap Implementation

Suppose that we realize the priority queue of a set with $n$ element with a binary min-heap.

- extract-min takes $O(\log n)$ time.
- decrease-key takes $O(\log n)$ time.
- insert takes $O(\log n)$ time.

Building the heap takes $O(n)$ time.

## Fibonacci-Heap Implementation

Suppose that we realize the priority queue of a set with $n$ elements with a Fibonacci heap. Then

- extract-min takes $O(\log n)$ amortized time.
- decrease-key takes O(1) amortized time.
- insert takes O(1) time.
[One can realize priority queues with worst case times as above]


## Dijkstra's Single Source Shortest Path Algorithm

## Dijkstra's SSSP Algorithm

Assumes all edge weights are nonnegative

- Similar to Prim's MST algorithm

Start with source node s and iteratively construct a tree rooted at s

- Each node keeps track of tree node that provides cheapest path from s (not just cheapest path from any tree node)
At each iteration, include the node whose cheapest path from s is the overall cheapest
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## Implementing Dijkstra's Alg.

- How can each node u keep track of its best path from s?
Keep an estimate, d[u], of shortest path distance from s to u
- Use d as a key in a priority queue When $u$ is added to the tree, check each of $u$ 's neighbors $v$ to see if u provides $v$ with a cheaper path from s:
- compare $d[v]$ to $d[u]+w(u, v)$


## Dijkstra's Algorithm

- input: $G=(V, E, w)$ and source node $s$
// initialization
$\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{s}]$ := 0
$d[v]$ := infinity for all other nodes $v$
initialize priority queue $Q$ to contain all nodes using d values as keys


## Dijkstra's Algorithm

while $Q$ is not empty do

- u:= extract-min(Q)
- for each neighbor $v$ of $u$ do
- if $d[u]+w(u, v)<d[v]$ then // relax
- $d[v]:=d[u]+w(u, v)$
- decrease-key(Q,v,d[v])
- parent(v) :=u


## Dijkstra's Algorithm Example


$a$ is source node
iteration

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $Q$ | $a b c d e$ | $b c d e$ | $c d e$ | $d e$ | $d$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $\mathrm{~d}[a]$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{~d}[b]$ | $\infty$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{~d}[c]$ | $\infty$ | 12 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| $\mathrm{~d}[d]$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 16 | 13 | 13 |
| $\mathrm{~d}[e]$ | $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
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## Correctness of Dijkstra's Alg.

Let $T_{i}$ be the tree constructed after $i$-th iteration of the while loop:

- The nodes in $T_{i}$ are not in $Q$

The edges in $T_{i}$ are indicated by parent variables
Show by induction on $i$ that the path in $T_{i}$ from $s$ to $u$ is a shortest path and has distance $d[u]$, for all $u$ in $T_{i}$.
Basis: $\mathrm{i}=1$.
$s$ is the only node in $T_{1}$ and $d[s]=0$.

## Correctness of Dijkstra's Alg.

Induction: Assume $T_{i}$ is a correct shortest path tree. We need to show that $T_{i+1}$ is a correct shortest path tree as well.

Let $u$ be the node added in iteration $i$.

- Let $x=\operatorname{parent}(u)$.


Need to show path in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ from s to $u$ is a shortest path, and has distance $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{u}]$
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P, path in $T_{i+1}$
from sto $u$
$\ldots-\ldots$ P', another
path from $s$ to $u$
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## Correctness of Dijkstra's Alg

Let P1 be part of P' before ( $a, b$ ).
Let $P 2$ be part of $P^{\prime}$ after ( $a, b$ ).
$w\left(P^{\prime}\right)=w(P 1)+w(a, b)+w(P 2)$
$\geq w(P 1)+w(a, b)$ (nonneg wts)

$\geq$ wt of path in $T_{i}$ from $s$ to $a+w(a, b)$ (inductive hypothesis)
$\geq w\left(s->x\right.$ path in $\left.T_{i}\right)+w(x, u)$ (alg chose $u$ in iteration $i$ and d-values are accurate, by inductive hypothesis $=\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{P})$.

So P is a shortest path, and $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{u}]$ is accurate after iteration $\mathrm{i}+1$.

## Running Time of Dijstra's Alg.

initialization: insert each node once

- $O\left(V \mathrm{~T}_{\text {ins }}\right)$
$O(V)$ iterations of while loop
- one extract-min per iteration $\Rightarrow O\left(V T_{\text {ex }}\right)$
- for loop inside while loop has variable number of iterations...
- For loop has $O(E)$ iterations total
- one decrease-key per iteration $\Rightarrow>\left(E T_{\text {dec }}\right)$


## Running Time using <br> Binary Heaps and Fibonacci Heaps

$O\left(V\left(T_{\text {ins }}+T_{e x}\right)+E \cdot T_{\text {dec }}\right)$
If priority queue is implemented with a binary heap, then

- $T_{\text {ins }}=T_{\text {ex }}=T_{\text {dec }}=O(\log \mathrm{~V})$
- total time is $O(E \log V)$

There are fancier implementations of the priority queue, such as Fibonacci heap:

- $T_{\text {ins }}=O(1), T_{\text {ex }}=O(\log V), T_{\text {dec }}=O(1)$ (amortized)
- total time is $O(V \log V+E)$


## Using Simpler Heap

$O\left(V\left(T_{\text {ins }}+T_{\text {ex }}\right)+E \cdot T_{\text {dec }}\right)$
If graph is dense, so that $|E|=\Theta\left(V^{2}\right)$, then it doesn' $\dagger$ help to make $T_{\text {ins }}$ and $T_{\text {ex }}$ to be a $\dagger$ most $O(V)$.
Instead, focus on making $T_{\text {dec }}$ be small, say constant.

- Implement priority queue with an unsorted array:

$$
T_{\text {ins }}=O(1), T_{\text {ex }}=O(V), T_{\text {dec }}=O(1)
$$

## The Bellman-Ford Algorithm

## What About Negative Edge

Dijkstra's SSSP algorithm requires all edge weights to be nonnegative. This is too restrictive, since it suffices to outlaw negative weight cycles.
Bellman-Ford SSSP algorithm can handle negative edge weights.
[It even can detect negative weight cycles if they exist.]

## Bellman-Ford: The Basic Idea

Consider each edge ( $u, v$ ) and see if $u$ offers $v$ a cheaper path from $s$

- compare d[v] to d[u] + w(u,v)

Repeat this process $|V|-1$ times to ensure that accurate information propgates from s, no matter what order the edges are considered in

## Bellman-Ford SSSP Algorithm

- input: directed or undirected graph $G=(V, E, w)$


## //initialization

- initialize $d[v]$ to infinity and parent[v] to nil for all vin $V$ other than the source
- initialize d[s] to 0 and parent[s] to $s$
// main body
- for $i:=1$ to $|V|-1$ do
- for each ( $u, v$ ) in $E$ do // consider in arbitrary order
- if $d[u]+w(u, v)<d[v]$ then
- $d[v]:=d[u]+w(u, v)$
parent[v]:= u


## Bellman-Ford SSSP Algorithm

// check for negative weight cycles
for each ( $u, v$ ) in $E$ do

- if $d[u]+w(u, v)<d[v]$ then
- output "negative weight cycle exists"


## Running Time of Bellman-Ford

$O(V)$ iterations of outer for loop
$O(E)$ iterations of inner for loop
O(VE) time total

## Correctness of Bellman-Ford

Assume no negative-weight cycles.
Lemma: $d[v]$ is never an underestimate of the actual shortest path distance from $s$ to $v$.
Lemma: If there is a shortest s-to-v path containing at most $i$ edges, then after iteration $i$ of the outer for loop, $d[v]$ is at most the actual shortest path distance from $s$ to $v$.

Theorem: Bellman-Ford is correct.
This follows from the two lemmas and the fact ${ }^{29}$

## Bellman-Ford Example


process edges in order
(c,b)
$(a, b)$
$(c, a)$
$(s, a)$
( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{c}$ )

## Exercise!

## Correctness of Bellman-Ford

Suppose there is a negative weight cycle.
Then the distance will decrease even after iteration $|V|-1$
shortest path distance is negative infinity This is what the last part of the code checks for.

## The Boost Graph Library

The BGL contains generic implementations of all the graph algorithms that we have discussed:

- Breadth-First-Search
- Depth-First-Search
- Kruskal's MST algorithm
- Prim's MST algorithm
- Strongly Connected Components
- Dijkstra's SSSP algorithm
- Bellman-Ford SSSP algorithm

I recommend that you gain experience with this useful library. Recommended reading: The Boost Graph Library by J.G. Siek, L.-Q. Lee, and A. Lumsdaine, Addison-Wesley, 2002.

