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## Andreas Klappenecker

## Overview

- Deterministic Quicksort
- Modify Quicksort to obtain better asymptotic bound
- Linear-time median algorithm
- Randomized Quicksort


## Deterministic Quicksort

Quicksort(A, p,r)
if $p<r$ then
$q:=$ Partition(A,p,r); // rearrange $A[p . r]$ in place
Quicksort(A, p,q-1);
Quicksort(A,p+1,r);

## Divide-and-Conquer

The design of Quicksort is based on the divide-and-conquer paradigm.
a) Divide: Partition the array A[p.r] into two (possibly empty) subarrays $A[p . . q-1]$ and $A[q+1, r]$ such that

- $A[x]<=A[q]$ for all $x$ in $[p . . q-1]$
$-A[x]>A[q]$ for all $x$ in $[q+1, r]$
b) Conquer: Recursively sort $A[p . . q-1]$ and $A[q+1, r]$
c) Combine: nothing to do here


## Partition

| 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p |  | i |  |  |  |  | r |

Select pivot (orange element) and rearrange: larger elements to the left of the pivot (red) elements not exceeding the pivot to the right (yellow)

## Partition

## Partition(A, p,r)

$x:=A[r] ; / /$ select rightmost element as pivot
$\mathrm{i}:=\mathrm{p}-1$;
for $j=p$ to $r-1$ do
if $A[j]<=x$ then $i:=i+1 ; \operatorname{swap}(A[i], A[j]) ; f i$;
od:
$\operatorname{swap}(A[i+1], A[r])$
return i+1;

Throughout the for loop:

- If $p<=k<=i$ then $A[k]<=x$
- If $i+1<=k<=j-1$ then $A[k]>x$
- If $k=r$, then $A[k]=x$
- A[j..r-1] is unstructured


## Partition - Loop - Example

|  | 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| i | $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{j}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | r |


| 2 | 1 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p | i |  |  | j |  |  | r |


| 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{i}$ | j |  |  |  |  |  | r |


| 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ | i |  |  |  | j |  | r |


| 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{i}$ |  | j |  |  |  |  | r |


| 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p$ |  | $i$ |  |  |  | $j$ | $r$ |


| 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{i}$ |  |  | j |  |  |  | r |


| 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p$ |  | $i$ |  |  |  |  | $r$ |

After the loop, the partition routine swaps the leftmost element of the right partition with the pivot element:

| 2 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| p |  | i |  |  |  |  | r |

$\operatorname{swap}(A[i+1], A[r])$

| 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| p |  | i |  |  |  |  | r |

now recursively sort yellow and red parts.

## Worst-Case Partitioning

The worst-case behavior for quicksort occurs on an input of length $n$ when partitioning produces just one subproblem with $n-1$ elements and one subproblem with 0 elements.

Therefore the recurrence for the running time $T(n)$ is:
$T(n)=T(n-1)+T(0)+\theta(n)=T(n-1)+\theta(n)=\theta\left(n^{2}\right)$

Perhaps we should call this algorithm pokysort?

## "Better" Quicksort and Linear Median Algorithm

## Best-case Partitioning

Best-case partitioning:
If partition produces two subproblems that are roughly of the same size, then the recurrence of the running time is
$T(n)<=2 T(n / 2)+\theta(n)$
so that $T(n)=O(n \log n)$
Can we achieve this bound?
Yes, modify the algorithm. Use a linear-time median algorithm to find median, then partition using median as pivot.

## Linear Median Algorithm

Let $A[1 . . n]$ be an array over a totally ordered domain.

- Partition A into groups of 5 and find the median of each group. [You can do that with 6 comparisons]
- Make an array $U[1 . . n / 5]$ of the medians and find the median $m$ of $U$ by recursively calling the algorithm.
- Partition the array $A$ using the median-of-medians $m$ to find the rank of $m$ in $A$. If $m$ is of larger rank than the median of $A$, eliminate all elements $>m$. If $m$ is of smaller rank than the median of $A$, then eliminate all elements $s=m$. Repeat the search on the smaller array.


## Linear-Time Median Finding

How many elements do we eliminate in each round?
The array $U$ contains $n / 5$ elements. Thus, $n / 10$ elements of $U$ are larger (smaller) than $m$, since $m$ is the median of $U$. Since each element in $U$ is a median itself, there are $3 n / 10$ elements in $A$ that are larger (smaller) than $m$.

Therefore, we eliminate $(3 / 10) n$ elements in each round.
Thus, the time $T(n)$ to find the median is

$$
T(n)<=T(n / 5)+T(7 n / 10)+6 n / 5 .
$$

// median of $U$, recursive call, and finding medians of groups

## Solving the Recurrence

Suppose that $T(n)<=c n$ (for some $c$ to be determined later)
$T(n)<=c(n / 5)+c(7 n / 10)+6 n / 5=c(9 n / 10)+6 n / 5$
If this is to be << cn, then we need to have
$c(9 n / 10)+12 n / 10<=c n$
or $12<=c$
Suppose that $T(1)=d$. Then choose $c=\max \{12, d\}$.
An easy proof by induction yields $T(n)<=c n$.

## Goal Achieved?

We can accomplish that quicksort achieves $O(n \log n)$ running time, if we use the linear-time median finding algorithm to select the pivot element.

Unfortunately, the constant in the big Oh expression becomes large, and quicksort looses some of its appeal.

Is there a simpler solution?

## Randomized Quicksort

## Deterministic Quicksort

## Randomized-Quicksort(A,p,r)

if $p$ < $r$ then
$q:=$ Randomized-Partition(A, p,r);
Randomized-Quicksort(A, p,q-1);
Randomized-Quicksort( $A, p+1, r)$;

## Partition

Randomized-Partition(A, p,r)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i:=\operatorname{Random}(p, r) ; \\
& \operatorname{swap}(A[i], A[r]) \text {; } \\
& \text { Partition }(A, p, r) \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

Almost the same as Partition, but now the pivot element is not the rightmost element, but rather an element from $A[p . r$. $]$ that is chosen uniformly at random.

## Goal

The running time of quicksort depends mostly on the number of comparisons performed in all calls to the Randomized-Partition routine.

Let $X$ denote the random variable counting the number of comparisons in all calls to Randomized-Partition.

## Notations

Let $z_{i}$ denote the $i$-th smallest element of $A[1 . . n]$.
Thus A[1..n] sorted is $\left\langle z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\rangle$.
Let $Z_{i j}=\left\{z_{i}, \ldots, z_{j}\right\}$ denote the set of elements between $z_{i}$ and $z_{j}$, including these elements.
$X_{i j}=I\left\{Z_{i}\right.$ is compared to $\left.z_{j}\right\}$.
Thus, $X_{i j}$ is an indicator random variable for the event that the $i$-th smallest and the $j$-th smallest elements of $A$ are compared in an execution of quicksort.

## Number of Comparisons

Since each pair of elements is compared at most once by quicksort, the number $X$ of comparisons is given

$$
X=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} X_{i j}
$$

Therefore, the expected number of comparisons is

$$
\mathrm{E}[X]=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \mathrm{E}\left[X_{i j}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \operatorname{Pr}\left[z_{i} \text { is compared to } z_{j}\right]
$$

## When do we compare?

When do we compare $z_{i}$ to $z_{j}$ ?

Suppose we pick a pivot element in $Z_{i j}=\left\{z_{i}, \ldots, z_{j}\right\}$.
If $z_{i}<x<z_{j}$ then $z_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ will land in different partitions and will never be compared afterwards.

Therefore, $z_{i}$ and $z_{j}$ will be compared if and only if the first element of $Z_{i j}$ to be picked as pivot element is contained in the set $\left\{z_{i}, z_{j}\right\}$.

## Probability of Comparison

$\operatorname{Pr}\left[z_{i}\right.$ or $z_{j}$ is the first pivot chosen from $\left.Z_{i j}\right]$ $=\operatorname{Pr}\left[z_{i}\right.$ is the first pivot chosen from $\left.Z_{i j}\right]$ $+\operatorname{Pr}\left[z_{j}\right.$ is the first pivot chosen from $\left.Z_{i j}\right]$ $=\frac{1}{j-i+1}+\frac{1}{j-i+1}=\frac{2}{j-i+1}$

## Expected Number of Comparisons

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[X] & =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{2}{j-i+1} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-i} \frac{2}{k+1} \\
& <\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{2}{k} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} O(\log n) \\
& =O(n \log n)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conclusion

It follows that the expected running time of RandomizedQuicksort is $O(n \log n)$.

It is unlikely that this algorithm will choose a terribly unbalanced partition each time, so the performance is very good almost all the time.

