Strassen's Matrix Multiplication Andreas Klappenecker

[partially based on slides by Prof. Welch]

Matrix Multiplication

Consider two n x n matrices A and B

Recall that the matrix product C = AB of two n x n matrices is defined as the n x n matrix that has the coefficient

$$c_{kl} = \sum_{m} a_{km} b_{ml}$$

in row k and column l, where the sum ranges over the integers from 1 to n; the scalar product of the kth row of a with the lth column of B.

The straightforward algorithm uses O(n³) scalar operations.

Can we do better?

Idea: Use Divide and Conquer

The divide and conquer paradigm is important general technique for designing algorithms. In general, it follows the steps:

- divide the problem into subproblems
- recursively solve the subproblems
- combine solutions to subproblems to get solution to original problem

Divide-and-Conquer

Let write the product AB = C as follows:

A_0	A_1	×	B ₀	B ₁	=	$A_0 \times B_0 + A_1 \times B_2$	$A_0 \times B_1 + A_1 \times B_3$
A ₂	A ₃		B ₂	B ₃		$A_2 \times B_0 + A_3 \times B_2$	$A_2 \times B_1 + A_3 \times B_3$

- Divide matrices A and B into four submatrices each
- We have 8 smaller matrix multiplications and 4 additions. Is it faster?

Divide-and-Conquer

Let us investigate this recursive version of the matrix multiplication.

Since we divide A, B and C into 4 submatrices each, we can compute the resulting matrix C by

- · 8 matrix multiplications on the submatrices of A and B,
- plus $\Theta(n^2)$ scalar operations

Divide-and-Conquer

· Running time of recursive version of straightfoward algorithm is

•
$$T(n) = 8T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$$

• $T(2) = \Theta(1)$

where T(n) is running time on an $n \times n$ matrix

· Master theorem gives us:

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^3)$$

• Can we do fewer recursive calls (fewer multiplications of the n/2 x n/2 submatrices)?

Strassen's Matrix Multiplication

$$A \times B =$$

			B ₁₁		\mathbf{C}_{11}	C_{12}
A ₂₁	A ₂₂		B ₂₁	B ₂₂	\mathbf{C}_{21}	\mathbb{C}_{22}

$$P_{1} = (A_{11} + A_{22})(B_{11} + B_{22})$$

$$P_{2} = (A_{21} + A_{22}) * B_{11}$$

$$P_{3} = A_{11} * (B_{12} - B_{22})$$

$$P_{4} = A_{22} * (B_{21} - B_{11})$$

$$P_{5} = (A_{11} + A_{12}) * B_{22}$$

$$P_{6} = (A_{21} - A_{11}) * (B_{11} + B_{12})$$

$$P_{7} = (A_{12} - A_{22}) * (B_{21} + B_{22})$$

$$C_{11} = P_1 + P_4 - P_5 + P_7$$
 $C_{12} = P_3 + P_5$
 $C_{21} = P_2 + P_4$
 $C_{22} = P_1 + P_3 - P_2 + P_6$

Strassen's Matrix Multiplication

Strassen found a way to get all the required information with only
 7 matrix multiplications, instead of 8.

- · Recurrence for new algorithm is
 - $T(n) = 7T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$

Solving the Recurrence Relation

Applying the Master Theorem to

$$T(n) = a T(n/b) + f(n)$$

with a=7, b=2, and $f(n)=\Theta(n^2)$.

Since
$$f(n) = O(n^{\log_b(a)-\epsilon}) = O(n^{\log_2(7)-\epsilon})$$
,

case a) applies and we get

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b(a)}) = \Theta(n^{\log_2(7)}) = O(n^{2.81}).$$

Discussion of Strassen's Algorithm

- Not always practical
 - · constant factor is larger than for naïve method
 - · specially designed methods are better on sparse matrices
 - issues of numerical (in)stability
 - · recursion uses lots of space
- Not the fastest known method
 - Fastest known is O(n^{2.3727}) [Winograd-Coppersmith algorithm improved by V. Williams]
 - Best known lower bound is $\Omega(n^2)$